

1958
Courtroom Deputy/Scheduling Clerk
U.S. District Court
Middle District of Georgia

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ALBANY DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
 :
v. : CASE NO.: 1:13-CR-12 (WLS)
 :
STEWART PARNELL, :
MICHAEL PARNELL, and :
MARY WILKERSON, :
 :
Defendants. :
_____ :

SPECIAL VERDICT

STEWART PARNELL

COUNT ONE

1.A.1. As to Count One of the Indictment, Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count One as charged, proceed to 1.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count One, you need not consider 1.A.2.]

1.A.2. We, the jury, having found Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count One, further find with respect to Count One that Defendant Stewart Parnell conspired to commit the following crime(s):

☒ Mail Fraud
☒ Wire Fraud

COUNT TWO

2.A.1. As to Count Two of the Indictment, Conspiracy to Introduce Adulterated and Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Two as charged, proceed to 2.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Two, you need not consider 2.A.2.]

2.A.2. We, the jury, having found Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Two, further find with respect to Count Two that Defendant Stewart Parnell conspired to commit the following crime(s):

☒ Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with
Intent to Defraud or Mislead
☒ Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with
Intent to Defraud or Mislead

COUNT THREE

3.A.1. As to Count Three of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Three as charged, proceed to 3.A.3 and do not consider 3.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Three as charged, proceed to 3.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

3.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Three as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 3.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Three as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 3.A.3.]

3.A.3. As to Count Three of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT FOUR

4.A.1. As to Count Four of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Four as charged, proceed to 4.A.3 and do not consider 4.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Four as charged, proceed to 4.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

4.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Four as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 4.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Four as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 4.A.3.]

4.A.3. As to Count Four of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT FIVE

5.A.1. As to Count Five of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

- ☒ Guilty
- ☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Five as charged, proceed to 5.A.3 and do not consider 5.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Five as charged, proceed to 5.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

5.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

- ☐ Guilty
- ☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Five as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 5.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Five as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 5.A.3.]

5.A.3. As to Count Five of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT SIX

6.A.1. As to Count Six of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

- ☒ Guilty
- ☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Six as charged, proceed to 6.A.3 and do not consider 6.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Six as charged, proceed to 6.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

6.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

- ☐ Guilty
- ☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Six as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 6.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Six as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 6.A.3.]

6.A.3. As to Count Six of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.

- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT SEVEN

7.A.1. As to Count Seven of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

- ☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Seven as charged, proceed to 7.A.3 and do not consider 7.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Seven as charged, proceed to 7.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

7.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

- ☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Seven as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 7.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Seven as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 7.A.3.]

7.A.3. As to Count Seven of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT EIGHT

8.A.1. As to Count Eight of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Eight as charged, proceed to 8.A.3 and do not consider 8.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Eight as charged, proceed to 8.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

8.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Eight as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 8.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Eight as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 8.A.3.]

8.A.3. As to Count Eight of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT NINE

9.A.1. As to Count Nine of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Nine as charged, proceed to 9.A.3 and do not consider 9.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Nine as charged, proceed to 9.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

9.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Nine as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 9.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Nine as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 9.A.3.]

9.A.3. As to Count Nine of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TEN

10.A.1. As to Count Ten of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Ten as charged, proceed to 10.A.3 and do not consider 10.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Ten as charged, proceed to 10.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

10.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Ten as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 10.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Ten as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 10.A.3.]

10.A.3. As to Count Ten of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT ELEVEN

11.A.1. As to Count Eleven of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Eleven as charged, proceed to 11.A.3 and do not consider 11.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Eleven as charged, proceed to 11.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

11.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Eleven as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 11.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Eleven as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 11.A.3.]

11.A.3. As to Count Eleven of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWELVE

12.A.1. As to Count Twelve of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Twelve as charged, proceed to 12.A.3 and do not consider 12.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twelve as charged, proceed to 12.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

12.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Twelve as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 12.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Twelve as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 12.A.3.]

12.A.3. As to Count Twelve of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT THIRTEEN

13.A.1. As to Count Thirteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Thirteen as charged, proceed to 13.A.3 and do not consider 13.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Thirteen as charged, proceed to 13.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

13.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Thirteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 13.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Thirteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 13.A.3.]

13.A.3. As to Count Thirteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT FOURTEEN

14.A.1. As to Count Fourteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Fourteen as charged, proceed to 14.A.3 and do not consider 14.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Fourteen as charged, proceed to 14.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

14.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Fourteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 14.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Fourteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 14.A.3.]

14.A.3. As to Count Fourteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT FIFTEEN

15.A.1. As to Count Fifteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Fifteen as charged, proceed to 15.A.3 and do not consider 15.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Fifteen as charged, proceed to 15.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

15.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Fifteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 15.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Fifteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 15.A.3.]

15.A.3. As to Count Fifteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT SIXTEEN

16.A.1. As to Count Sixteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Sixteen as charged, proceed to 16.A.3 and do not consider 16.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Sixteen as charged, proceed to 16.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

16.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Sixteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 16.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Sixteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 16.A.3.]

16.A.3. As to Count Sixteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

17.A.1. As to Count Seventeen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Seventeen as charged, proceed to 17.A.3 and do not consider 17.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Seventeen as charged, proceed to 17.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

17.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Seventeen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 17.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Seventeen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 17.A.3.]

17.A.3. As to Count Seventeen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT EIGHTEEN

18.A.1. As to Count Eighteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Eighteen as charged, proceed to 18.A.3 and do not consider 18.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Eighteen as charged, proceed to 18.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

18.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Eighteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 18.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Eighteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 18.A.3.]

18.A.3. As to Count Eighteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT NINETEEN

19.A.1. As to Count Nineteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Nineteen as charged, proceed to 19.A.3 and do not consider 19.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Nineteen as charged, proceed to 19.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

19.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Nineteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 19.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Nineteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 19.A.3.]

19.A.3. As to Count Nineteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWENTY

20.A.1. As to Count Twenty of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Twenty as charged, proceed to 20.A.3 and do not consider 20.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty as charged, proceed to 20.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

20.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Twenty as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 20.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Twenty as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 20.A.3.]

20.A.3. As to Count Twenty of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWENTY-ONE

21.A.1. As to Count Twenty-One of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Twenty-One as charged, proceed to 21.A.3 and do not consider 21.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-One as charged, proceed to 21.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

21.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Twenty-One as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 21.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Twenty-One as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 21.A.3.]

21.A.3. As to Count Twenty-One of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWENTY-TWO

22.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Two of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty of Count Twenty-Two as charged, proceed to 22.A.3 and do not consider 22.A.2. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Two as charged, proceed to 22.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

22.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell guilty as to Count Twenty-Two as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 22.A.3. If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty as to both Count Twenty-Two as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 22.A.3.]

with Twenty-two was NA
22.A.3. As to Count ~~Three~~ of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☒ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health..
- ☒ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWENTY-THREE

23.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Three of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Three as charged, proceed to 23.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

23.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-FOUR

24.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Four of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Four as charged, proceed to 24.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense]

24.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-FIVE

25.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Five of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Five as charged, proceed to 25.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

25.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

26.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Six of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Six as charged, proceed to 26.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

26.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN

27.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Seven of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Seven as charged, proceed to 27.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

27.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT

28.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Eight of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Eight as charged, proceed to 28.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

28.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-NINE

29.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Nine of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Nine as charged, proceed to 29.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

29.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY

30.A.1. As to Count Thirty of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty as charged, proceed to 30.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

30.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-ONE

31.A.1. As to Count Thirty-One of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-One as charged, proceed to 31.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense]

31.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-TWO

32.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Two of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Two as charged, proceed to 32.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

32.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-THREE

33.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Three of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Three as charged, proceed to 33.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

33.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-FOUR

34.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Four of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Four as charged, proceed to 34.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

34.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-FIVE

35.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Five of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Stewart Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Five as charged, proceed to 35.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

35.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-SIX

36. As to Count Thirty-Six of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-SEVEN

37. As to Count Thirty-Seven of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-EIGHT

38. As to Count Thirty-Eight of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-NINE

39. As to Count Thirty-Nine of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY

40. As to Count Forty of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-ONE

41. As to Count Forty-One of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-TWO

42. As to Count Forty-Two of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-THREE

43. As to Count Forty-Three of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-FOUR

44. As to Count Forty-Four of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-FIVE

45. As to Count Forty-Five of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-SIX

46. As to Count Forty-Six of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-SEVEN

47. As to Count Forty-Seven of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-EIGHT

48. As to Count Forty-Eight of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-NINE

49. As to Count Forty-Nine of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY

50. As to Count Fifty of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-ONE

51. As to Count Fifty-One of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-TWO

52. As to Count Fifty-Two of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-THREE

53. As to Count Fifty-Three of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-FOUR

54. As to Count Fifty-Four of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-FIVE

55. As to Count Fifty-Five of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-SIX

56. As to Count Fifty-Six of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-SEVEN

57. As to Count Fifty-Seven of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-EIGHT

58. As to Count Fifty-Eight of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-NINE

59. As to Count Fifty-Nine of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY

60. As to Count Sixty of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-TWO

62. As to Count Sixty-Two of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-THREE

63. As to Count Sixty-Three of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-FOUR

64. As to Count Sixty-Four of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-FIVE

65. As to Count Sixty-Five of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-SIX

66. As to Count Sixty-Six of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-SEVEN

67. As to Count Sixty-Seven of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-EIGHT

68. As to Count Sixty-Eight of the Indictment, Obstruction of Justice, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SEVENTY-TWO

72. As to Count Seventy-Two of the Indictment, Obstruction of Justice, we, the jury, find Defendant Stewart Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

MICHAEL PARNELL

COUNT ONE

1.A.1. As to Count One of the Indictment, Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count One as charged, proceed to 1.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count One, you need not consider 1.A.2.]

1.A.2. We, the jury, having found Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count One, further find with respect to Count One that Defendant Michael Parnell conspired to commit the following crimes:

☒ Mail Fraud
☒ Wire Fraud

COUNT TWO

2.A.1. As to Count Two of the Indictment, Conspiracy to Introduce Adulterated and Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Two as charged, proceed to 2.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Two, you need not consider 2.A.2.]

2.A.2. We, the jury, having found Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Two, further find with respect to Count Two that Defendant Stewart Parnell conspired to commit the following crimes:

☐ Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with
Intent to Defraud or Mislead
☒ Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with
Intent to Defraud or Mislead

COUNT THREE

3.A.1. As to Count Three of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Three as charged, proceed to 3.A.3 and do not consider 3.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Three as charged, proceed to 3.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

3.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant ~~Stewart~~ Parnell:

Michael *NB*

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Three as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 3.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Three as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 3.A.3.]

3.A.3. As to Count Three of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.

- _____ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT SIX

6.A.1. As to Count Six of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

_____ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Six as charged, proceed to 6.A.3 and do not consider 6.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Six as charged, proceed to 6.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

6.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

_____ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Six as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 6.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Six as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 6.A.3.]

6.A.3. As to Count Six of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- _____ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
_____ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT SEVEN

7.A.1. As to Count Seven of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Seven as charged, proceed to 7.A.3 and do not consider 7.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Seven as charged, proceed to 7.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

7.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Seven as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 7.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Seven as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 7.A.3.]

7.A.3. As to Count Seven of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT EIGHT

8.A.1. As to Count Eight of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Eight as charged, proceed to 8.A.3 and do not consider 8.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Eight as charged, proceed to 8.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

8.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Eight as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 8.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Eight as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 8.A.3.]

8.A.3. As to Count Eight of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT NINE

9.A.1. As to Count Nine of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Nine as charged, proceed to 9.A.3 and do not consider 9.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Nine as charged, proceed to 9.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

9.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Nine as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 9.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Nine as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 9.A.3.]

9.A.3. As to Count Nine of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TEN

10.A.1. As to Count Ten of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Ten as charged, proceed to 10.A.3 and do not consider 10.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Ten as charged, proceed to 10.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

10.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Ten as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 10.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Ten as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 10.A.3.]

10.A.3. As to Count Ten of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT FOURTEEN

14.A.1. As to Count Fourteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Fourteen as charged, proceed to 14.A.3 and do not consider 14.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Fourteen as charged, proceed to 14.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

14.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Fourteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 14.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Fourteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 14.A.3.]

14.A.3. As to Count Fourteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT FIFTEEN

15.A.1. As to Count Fifteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Fifteen as charged, proceed to 15.A.3 and do not consider 15.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Fifteen as charged, proceed to 15.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

15.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Fifteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 15.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Fifteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 15.A.3.]

15.A.3. As to Count Fifteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

17.A.1. As to Count Seventeen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Seventeen as charged, proceed to 17.A.3 and do not consider 17.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Seventeen as charged, proceed to 17.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

17.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Seventeen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 17.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Seventeen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 17.A.3.]

17.A.3. As to Count Seventeen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT NINETEEN

19.A.1. As to Count Nineteen of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Nineteen as charged, proceed to 19.A.3 and do not consider 19.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Nineteen as charged, proceed to 19.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

19.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Nineteen as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 19.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Nineteen as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 19.A.3.]

19.A.3. As to Count Nineteen of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWENTY

20.A.1. As to Count Twenty of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Twenty as charged, proceed to 20.A.3 and do not consider 20.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty as charged, proceed to 20.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

20.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Twenty as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 20.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Twenty as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 20.A.3.]

20.A.3. As to Count Twenty of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWENTY-ONE

21.A.1. As to Count Twenty-One of the Indictment, Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty of Count Twenty-One as charged, proceed to 21.A.3 and do not consider 21.A.2. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-One as charged, proceed to 21.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

21.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Adulterated Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell guilty as to Count Twenty-One as charged or the lesser-included offense, consider 21.A.3. If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty as to both Count Twenty-One as charged and the lesser-included offense, you need not consider 21.A.3.]

21.A.3. As to Count Twenty-One of the Indictment, we, the jury, find that the adulterated food introduced into interstate commerce was adulterated because:

- ☐ It contained a poisonous or harmful substance which may have rendered it injurious to health.
- ☐ It was prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth and thereby rendered injurious to health.

COUNT TWENTY-THREE

23.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Three of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Three as charged, proceed to 23.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

23.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-FOUR

24.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Four of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Four as charged, proceed to 24.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

24.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-FIVE

25.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Five of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Five as charged, proceed to 25.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

25.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

26.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Six of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Six as charged, proceed to 26.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

26.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN

27.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Seven of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Seven as charged, proceed to 27.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

27.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT

28.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Eight of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Eight as charged, proceed to 28.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

28.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT TWENTY-NINE

29.A.1. As to Count Twenty-Nine of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Twenty-Nine as charged, proceed to 29.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

29.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY

30.A.1. As to Count Thirty of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty as charged, proceed to 30.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

30.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-TWO

32.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Two of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Two as charged, proceed to 32.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

32.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-THREE

33.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Three of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Three as charged, proceed to 33.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

33.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-FOUR

34.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Four of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Four as charged, proceed to 34.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

34.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-FIVE

35.A.1. As to Count Thirty-Five of the Indictment, Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce with Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

[Note: If you find Defendant Michael Parnell not guilty of Count Thirty-Five as charged, proceed to 35.A.2 to consider the lesser-included offense.]

35.A.2. As to the lesser-included offense of Introduction of Misbranded Food into Interstate Commerce without the Intent to Defraud or Mislead, we, the jury, find the Defendant Michael Parnell:

☐ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-SIX

36. As to Count Thirty-Six of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT THIRTY-NINE

39. As to Count Thirty-Nine of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY

40. As to Count Forty of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-ONE

41. As to Count Forty-One of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-TWO

42. As to Count Forty-Two of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-THREE

43. As to Count Forty-Three of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-SEVEN

47. As to Count Forty-Seven of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FORTY-EIGHT

48. As to Count Forty-Eight of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY

50. As to Count Fifty of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-TWO

52. As to Count Fifty-Two of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-THREE

53. As to Count Fifty-Three of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-FOUR

54. As to Count Fifty-Four of the Indictment, Mail Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-SIX

56. As to Count Fifty-Six of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-EIGHT

58. As to Count Fifty-Eight of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT FIFTY-NINE

59. As to Count Fifty-Nine of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-ONE

with *Sixty-one* *with*
61. As to Count ~~Sixty~~ of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

NOK
☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SIXTY-SEVEN

67. As to Count Sixty-Seven of the Indictment, Wire Fraud, we, the jury, find Defendant Michael Parnell:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

MARY WILKERSON

COUNT SEVENTY-THREE

73. As to Count Seventy-Three of the Indictment, Obstruction of Justice, we, the jury, find Defendant Mary Wilkerson:

☒ Guilty
☐ Not guilty

COUNT SEVENTY-SIX

76. As to Count Seventy-Six of the Indictment, Obstruction of Justice, we, the jury, find Defendant Mary Wilkerson:

☒ Guilty
☒ Not guilty

We so find this 19 day of September 2014,